

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRR(F) 429 of 2016
Date of Decision: 09.12.2016**

Manmohan Singh Grover

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Veena Rani

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.Arn timer Sood, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the instant petition is for setting aside the order dated 26.10.2016 whereby, maintenance of Rs.5,000/- has been awarded to the respondent-wife by taking into consideration that petitioner is getting pension worth Rs.14856/- (Annexure P-A).

Heard, counsel for the petitioner. No evidence has been led by the petitioner to show that respondent-wife is running three shops and also to the proof with regard to income from those shops. Even a petition under Section 13 B of Hindu Marriage Act could not be finalized as per compromise effected between them on 08.10.2014.

In view of the above and grant of maintenance is not on the higher side, the present revision petition is dismissed as such.

**(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE**

09.12.2016

anil

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>