

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Revn. No. F-420 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 02.12.2016

Pragya Vats	...	Petitioner
	Versus	
Yashpal Sharma	...	Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr. Dinesh Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI J.(Oral)

CRM-37589 of 2016

For the reasons mentioned in the application, delay of 33 days
in filing the present revision petition is condoned.

The application stands disposed of accordingly.

Crl. Revn. No. F-420 of 2016

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated
29.07.2016 passed by learned District Judge Family Court, Gurgaon vide
which the respondent father has been directed to pay an amount of
Rs.9500/- per month to the petitioner as monthly maintenance.

A bare perusal of impugned order shows that the net salary of
the respondent for the month of December, 2015 was Rs.24132/- and the
learned court below by taking into consideration the salary of the
respondent, has rightly granted the monthly maintenance to the petitioner
@ 9500/-.

Learned counsel contends that the maintenance granted to the petitioner is on the lower side, as the petitioner has now been shifted to less reputed school by her mother, keeping in view the fact that she has been awarded less maintenance, vide impugned order.

This argument is liable to be rejected as after deducting Rs.9500/- from Rs.24132/-, the respondent will have Rs.15000/- per month, which is not on the higher side.

The the order dated 29.07.2016 passed by learned District Judge Family Court, Gurgaon does not require any interference by this Court at this stage.

The petition stands dismissed.

December 02, 2016
G Arora

(**RITU BAHRI**)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>