

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.203

CWP No.19518 of 2010

Date of decision: 25.01.2016

Attar Singh and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.9 has expired. On instructions from his legal representatives, he does not press the present petition on behalf of petitioner No.9.

The petitioners were appointed as Conductors, on contract basis in the Transport Department, Haryana. Through separate orders between the years 1981-86 their services were ordered to be regularized. After over two decades, through the impugned order dated 23.07.2010 (Annexure P-5), the dates of the regularization of their services (except petitioners No.9 and 11) have been ordered to be changed to their prejudice.

It is the admitted position that before changing the dates of their regularization to their prejudice, neither any notice was issued to them nor any opportunity of hearing was granted. The order impugned certainly entails civil consequences and, therefore, it was incumbent upon the respondents to follow the principles of natural justice before passing the

same.

In view of the above, order dated 23.07.2010 (Annexure P-5) is quashed. However, the respondents are at liberty to proceed against the petitioners (except petitioners No.9 and 11) in accordance with law.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

January 25, 2016

Jyoti 1