

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRR(F) No.369 of 2016
Date of decision: 27.10.2016**

Dilbagh Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Salochna Devi

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Challenge in this petition is to the order dated 08.08.2016 passed by the District Judge, Family Court, Pathankot, whereby application filed by respondent-wife under Section 125 Cr.P.C. has been allowed and petitioner-respondent has been directed to pay maintenance to her to the tune of Rs.1000/- per month from 10.06.2013 till the date of passing of order and Rs.1500/- per month from 09.08.2016 onwards.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the observations made by the Court while awarding maintenance under Section 125 Cr.P.C. to the effect that the civil suit for permanent injunction, which was decreed in favour of the petitioner on 01.09.2015, will not give any ownership rights to any of the parties.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the view that the said observation made by the Court with regard to the civil suit will have no bearings on the rights of the parties, if the decree is

challenged in future. The maintenance has rightly been awarded by the Family Court after appreciation of evidence in the right perspective.

Disposed of accordingly.

27.10.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No