

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR (F) No. 338 of 2016
Date of decision : 3.10.2016**

Pankaj

.....Petitioner

v.

Nisha

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present : Mr. N.K. Malhotra, Advocate, for the petitioner

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral) :

The petitioner has come up in revision against the order dated 26.8.2016 awarding interim maintenance of ₹7,000/- per month to the respondent-wife.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he is running very small general store and his income is ₹ 10,000/- per month. He has one daughter studying in Class I.

Perusal of the order shows that the petitioner is a general merchant having a grocery store in Gali No.2, Shiri Nagar, Rani Bagh, Delhi in the name and style of Khanna General Store and his monthly income is about ₹ one lakh and he is also having agriculture land in Kalanaur, District Rohtak. Apart from this, he has a house and plot in Delhi.

Keeping in view that this is only interim maintenance and it should be decided by leading evidence at the final stage. At this stage, ₹ 7000/-per

month as interim maintenance to the respondent-wife is sufficient.

No ground is made out to interfere in the order passed by the lower Court granting interim maintenance.

The criminal revision is dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

3.10.2016
Ashwani

Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Reportable	Yes/No