

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.12485 of 2013
Date of decision:07.11.2016**

Subhash Chander

...Petitioner

Versus

Special Secretary, Cooperation, Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.R.K.Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Yatinder Sharma, Addl.A.G., Punjab.
Mr.H.S.Bedi, Advocate for respondent No.4.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the order dated 13.7.2012 (Annexure P-7) passed by the Joint Registrar (Planning), Cooperative Societies, Punjab and also the revisional order dated 26.3.2013 passed by the Special Secretary, Cooperative, Punjab-respondent No.1, petitioner has approached this Court by way of present writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned orders.

During the course of hearing, it has transpired that in compliance of the impugned order dated 13.7.2012 (Annexure P-7) passed by the Joint Registrar (Planning), Cooperative Societies, Punjab, the competent authority has already acted upon the impugned order (Annexure P-7) and appropriate order has already been passed, again terminating the services of the petitioner.

In this view of the matter, learned counsel for the respondents were found fully justified in contending that nothing survives to be decided in the instant writ petition and the same has already been rendered infructuous.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner also fairly state that in view of fresh orders having been passed, in compliance of the order dated 13.7.2012 (Annexure P-7), the instant writ petition would not survive.

In view of the above-said fair statements made by the learned counsel for the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous. However, it goes without saying that petitioner shall be at liberty to challenge the fresh termination order, if not already challenged before the appropriate authority, in accordance with law.

With the above-said observations made, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.

07.11.2016
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No