

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRR (F) No.269 of 2016
Date of decision: 29.09.2016**

Ashok Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Suman alias Parmila

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. S.K. Chauhan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J.

CRM No.26032 of 2016

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed
and delay of 47 days in filing of the petition is condoned.

CRR (F) No.269 of 2016

Challenge in this petition is to the judgment dated 17.03.2016
passed by the District Judge (Family Court), Bhiwani, whereby Smt. Suman
alias Parmila, respondent-wife, has been awarded maintenance of Rs.7000/-
per month, to be paid by husband-petitioner.

Marriage of the petitioner and respondent was solemnized on
16.05.2010 at village Neemar Badesra, Tehsil Charkhi Dadri, District
Bhiwani. Due to difference in temperaments, they could not pull on
together and are living separately since May, 2012. Thereafter, she filed an
application under Section 125 Cr.P.C. before the Family Court at Bhiwani,

which was allowed vide impugned order.

Heard.

Petitioner-husband is working in the Army. While awarding maintenance in favour of respondent-wife, the income of the petitioner has been taken to be as Rs.30,000/- per month. No evidence was led by the petitioner before the Family Court to rebut the evidence led by respondent-wife. He had been proceeded against exparte before the trial Court.

By taking the income of the petitioner as Rs.30,000/- per month, maintenance of Rs.7000/- per month awarded by the trial Court is not on the higher side. Resultantly, no ground is made out to interfere in the impugned order.

Dismissed.

29.09.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No