

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRR(F) No. 250 of 2016
Date of decision: 08.08.2016**

Pardeep

...Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Sunita

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. V.P. Sangwan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J.

The petitioner has come up in revision against the order dated 10.05.2016 passed by the District Judge (Family Court), Bhiwani, whereby petitioner-husband was directed to pay a sum of Rs.5000/- per month to the respondent-wife for herself as well as her minor son towards interim maintenance.

The main ground taken by the petitioner is that he was a student of B.Tech (Final Year) and was not in a position to maintain his wife and son. This plea has been rightly rejected by the trial Court by taking the income of petitioner as Rs.15,000/- per month being a skilled labourer. Hence, no ground is made out to interfere in the impugned order.

Dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

08.08.2016
ajp

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No