

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1854 of 2015.
Decided on:-20.01.2016.

Jagga.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to release or produce the alleged detainee as detailed in para No.3 of the petition.

Pursuant to the order dated 28.12.2015 passed by this Court, the Warrant Officer visited Jai Ambay brick kiln where relatives of petitioner were available at the site situated at village Bakhora. The owner of the brick kiln has intimated that the petitioner and his relatives have come to work on brick kiln on their own will and they are free to do any work anywhere. The persons mentioned in para No.3 of the writ petition were not interested to do

the work at the said brick kiln. In the presence of the Warrant Officer and the police party, all the persons have gone with the petitioner except Sh. Kaver Pal, Ms. Resha, Sh. Seva Ram and Sh. Rishi Pal as they have already gone in their village as intimated by the petitioner. No alleged detainee was found guarded at the time of visit of the Warrant Officer as alleged in the present petition.

Learned State counsel has filed short reply way of affidavit of Arshdeep Singh Thind, District Magistrate-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur, which is taken on record. As per said affidavit, the premises i.e. brick kiln is situated at village Lehal Kalan, Tehsil Lehra, District Sangrur. S. S. Randhawa, Assistant Labour Commissioner, Sangrur in the presence of Naib Tehsildar, Lehra visited the site of said brick kiln Jai Ambay on 18.1.2016 and got recorded the statement of the persons present there. No person mentioned at serial Nos.1 to 24 in para No.3 of the writ petition was found present there. All the persons named at serial Nos.1 to 24 were called in their names loudly and none was present there.

Taking into consideration that no alleged detainee was found at the brick kiln, the present petition is dismissed.

January 20, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE