

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.1849 of 2015
Date of Decision: 11.01.2016.**

Lucky

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: By Post.

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

In compliance of the order dated December 28, 2015, an enquiry was conducted from Pirthe Pal Singh, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police (Investigation), Ludhiana. The report Annexure R-1/T has also been furnished along with affidavit. The relevant portion reads as under:-

“On the captioned subject, enquiry has been conducted by the undersigned during which Dalbir Kaur W/o Santokh Singh R/o 7534/5, Street No.5, New Amar Nagar, P.S. Daba, Ludhiana was joined,

heard and her statement was recorded in which she has got recorded that her son Ravinder Singh aged about 26 years, works in a private factory. Her son had gone with his friends from the house on 21.12.2015 without telling anybody for outing. Hence, under misguided suspicion, a telegram alleging that her son has been picked up by the police was sent from the Cafe Shop of Lucky. But her son came back to the house after 2/3 days and he was not picked up by any police nor I want to take any action against anybody. Statement of Dalbir Kaur is attached. Apart from it, officials of CIA-1 and CIA-2 were also enquired. No case has been registered against the son of Dalbir Kaur namely Ravinder Singh at CIA-1 and CIA-2, Ludhiana nor he is wanted in any case by the said police. Ravinder Singh not picked up by the police. Report is submitted please.”

The aforesaid report reveals that no further action is required to be taken in the instant petition, especially in the circumstances that no case has been registered against the petitioner and the petitioner is not required by the police in any case.

CRWP No.1849 of 2015

[3]

The instant petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. However, the intimation in this regard be given to the petitioner.

January 11, 2016

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**