

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR(F)-183-2016 (O&M)
Decided on: 10.11.2016**

Babita and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

Sonu

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. B.S. Tewatia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

CRM-17363-2016

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed and delay of 40 days in filing of the present revision petition is condoned.

CRR(F)-183-2016

The petitioners have come up in revision against the order dated 11.01.2016, whereby, the interim maintenance of ₹2500/- has been awarded to petitioner No.1, whereas ₹500/- has been awarded to petitioner No.2- minor daughter of petitioner No.1 and respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner No.1 has no source of income and respondent is a sales representative and earning ₹15,000/- per month.

Keeping in view that this is only interim maintenance and it should be decided by leading evidence at the final stage. At this stage, ₹2500/- per month to petitioner No.1 and ₹500/- to petitioner No.2 as interim maintenance to the petitioners is sufficient.

No ground is made out to interfere in the order passed by the lower Court granting interim maintenance.

The criminal revision is dismissed.

November 10, 2016
Dinesh

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No