

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.15414 of 2012

Date of decision: 11.1.2016

Dr. Jagwinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. HS Dhindsa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Inqulab Nagpal, AAG, Punjab.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Largely, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous since the relief prayed for has been granted. However, a decision has yet to be taken in favour of the petitioner since the monetary value of the consequential benefits have to be calculated and then paid. Let the same be done within a reasonable time and the money found due, be paid to the petitioner within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

In view of above, the petition stands disposed of.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

11.1.2016

monika