

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT

CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1650-2015

Date of Decision: February 5, 2016

Kamal Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Saini, AAG, Haryana.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of conciliation between the private parties, he does not want to press this petition at this stage.

Learned counsel for the State has no objection to the

above prayer.

Ordered accordingly.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

February 5, 2016
P Kapoor