

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

224

CWP No. 12164 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.05.2016

Dhanna Ram

....Petitioner

Versus

The Presiding Officer and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Adarsh Malik, Advocate for respondent No.2.
Mr. Harnek Singh, Advocate for LR's of Dhanna Ram.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for direction to respondent No.2 to implement the award dated 09.08.2011 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Industrial Tribunal, Jalandhar. During pendency of the litigation Dhanna Ram passed away. Consequently, the award has been implemented in favour of the wife of late Shri Dhanna Ram therefore, the present petition do not survive for consideration. Learned counsel for the daughter of the deceased-Dhanna Ram seeks interference by this Court that she is also entitled for monetary benefits. Since the 2nd respondent has already released the monetary benefits which were due to late Shri Dhanna Ram to his wife Smt. Jogindro, claim of the daughter of late Shri Dhanna Ram has to be worked out with her mother since retiral benefits are to be extended only to the nominee.

Accordingly, petition stands disposed of as become infructuous.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

02.05.2016

pooja saini