

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR-631-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.04.2016

DALJINDER SINGH AND ORS

.....Petitioners

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Nandan Jinal, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.A.P.S.Gill, AAG Punjab

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

The limited prayer of learned counsel for the petitioners is for reduction in the sentence order of the lower Court and as modified by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur. As a result of the modification, the petitioners now stand convicted under Sections 323, 324 and 452 and 148 IPC. The maximum sentence awarded is under Section 452 IPC i.e. rigorous imprisonment for two years.

Learned State counsel has filed custody certificate in Court which is taken on record.

After considering the prosecution story and the facts and circumstances and the fact that injuries are simple in nature, the sentence of rigorous imprisonment for two years under Section 452 IPC is reduced to Rigorous Imprisonment for one year. The sentence under the remaining sections is maintained.

With above modification, the revision is dismissed.

12.04.2016
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge