

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.18808 of 2010

Date of decision: 15.1.2016

Kulwinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Inqulab Nagpal, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. GS Harika, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 & 3.

Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-Board has no objection that this writ petition be brought to an end and the interim order passed in favour of the petitioner be made absolute. On the other hand, the private respondents will not claim promotion from the year 2005 and will remain satisfied with his promotion granted to him in the year 2012.

In view of this satisfactory arrangement, the petition is disposed of as no further orders are required to be passed by this Court. The arrangement will hold good given that all things are equal. As a result, the impugned orders (Annexures P-5 & P-7) will be treated as set aside in view of the arrangement and in view of *ad idem*.

15.1.2016

monika

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

