

223

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 536 of 2016 (O/M)

Date of decision : 12.5.2016

Surjit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.S. Dinarpur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision is the judgment dated 5.11.2015, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ambala, dismissing the appeal filed by the present petitioner/complainant against the judgment of acquittal dated 17.2.2014, passed by the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ambala.

It comes out that the petitioner/complainant had filed a complaint under Sections 323, 375, 506 IPC against nine accused and after the trial, the accused were acquitted by giving them benefit of doubt. The judgment of the lower Court was upheld in appeal.

The perusal of the file shows that both the Courts below have come to the conclusion that the petitioner/complainant could

CRR No. 536 of 2016 (O/M)

not prove his case beyond all reasonable doubts. The judgments of both the Courts below cannot be called illegal or perverse. Therefore, there is no ground to interfere in the concurrent findings recorded by two Courts below. Hence, the present revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

12.5.2016
sjks