

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11745 of 2013

Date of decision: 26.08.2016

Vinod Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

P.S.P.C.L. and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Vineet Shegal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Y.P. Khullar, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 3.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, fairly states that instead of approaching this Court by way of present writ petition, petitioner should have availed his remedy of appeal before the Ombudsman, Electricity, Punjab, at the first instance. He further submits that given a time of just three weeks, petitioner shall approach the appropriate authority and the respondents may not raise the issue of limitation.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the contesting respondents submits that let the petitioner approach the competent authority, in accordance with law.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and after going through the record available on the file, this Court is of the considered opinion that request made by learned counsel for the petitioner is genuine and deserves to be accepted.

In view of the above, present writ petition is disposed of, with liberty to the petitioner to approach the competent authority by moving petition within a period of three weeks from today, as undertaken on behalf of the petitioner and in that eventuality, respondents shall not raise the issue of limitation. The competent authority shall decide the petition filed by the petitioner on merits, by passing an appropriate order, in accordance with law.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present writ petition stands disposed of.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

26.08.2016
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No