

**226 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.1156 of 2015

Date of Decision: February 26, 2016

Arjun

.... Petitioner

vs.

The State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Ram Kumar Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vishal Nehra, Advocate for respondent Nos.5 and 6.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Reply along with inquiry report have been filed. It is reported that the detenues, whose names are mentioned in para No.3 of the petition were doing labour work voluntarily at brick kiln of respondent Nos.5 and 6 and that on 13.06.2015, petitioner Arjun along with his family members, mule cart and other articles had left to their home after receiving their dues. On 12.08.2015, the Warrant Officer visited the premises of brick kiln, Arjun, his father, son and wife were also present with the Warrant Officer, where the mater was enquired from the other labourer who deposed that detenues were working at the said brick kiln voluntarily and also stated that they were not detained illegally by respondent Nos.5 and 6.

It being so, the present petition stands disposed of.

If the petitioner has any grievance, he can always approach the concerned District Magistrate under the Bonded Labour System (Abolition) Act, 1976

February 26, 2016

sarita

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**