

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1086-2015

Date of Decision: February 24, 2016

Tejpal @ Teja

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J. (Oral):

No one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. Even on 1.2.2016 and 14.12.2015, no one had appeared for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner falls in the category of hardcore criminal and he has not yet completed the substantive sentence of five years and, as such, he cannot be extended the benefit of *parole*.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the State, the present petition is dismissed.

**(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE**

February 24, 2016
Pkapoor