

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.1030 of 2015

Date of decision: January 12, 2016.

Gurvinder Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Ms. G.K. Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**Kuldip Singh, J.** (Oral):

Status report filed by the State in Court. Same is taken on record.

Parole applied by the petitioner has been declined by the District Magistrate, Amritsar on the ground that the parole was sought for repair of the house but the house was found in good condition. The report of the Panchayat attached with the order shows that the father of the petitioner has died. There are old mother, wife and 8 years old son in the house. In addition to this, the house also needs repairs.

Primarily, the petitioner is also required to meet his family.

In these circumstances, the impugned order is set aside. Let the

petitioner move a fresh application before the Superintendent of jail stating all the grounds and if the application is moved, the same shall be decided within two weeks of the receipt of the application.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of.

January 12, 2016.  
*kadyan*

**[ Kuldip Singh ]**  
**Judge**