

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.11580 of 2013
Date of decision:15.3.2016**

Manmeet Kumar & ors. ... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Punjab & ors. ... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. GS Bal, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. ADS Bal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Inqulab Nagpal, A.A.G., Punjab.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Learned State Counsel very fairly concedes that this matter is covered by the decision of this Court in **Harbans Lal v. State of Punjab and ors.** as affirmed by the Supreme Court in order dated November 4, 2015 passed in Review Petition (C) No.2038 of 2013 in Special Leave Petition (C) No.23578 of 2012 titled as 'State of Punjab and ors. v. Harbans Lal'. The petitioners are similarly situated persons and deserve parity of treatment and therefore, this petition is allowed in terms of the decision in Harbans Lal's case (supra).

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

15.3.2016
monika