

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Revision (F) No.62 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.10.2016

Chander Pal

...Petitioner

Versus

Anita and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed seeking to challenge the order dated 06.02.2015, vide which respondent No.1-wife herein was allowed maintenance of Rs.10,000/- per month and the minor child was allowed maintenance of Rs.5000/- per month from the date of filing of the petition.

Vide order dated 18.03.2015, notice of motion was issued for 14.05.2015, subject to the petitioner depositing an amount of Rs.25,000/- by way of a demand draft, towards litigation expenses, within two weeks. The petitioner was also directed to deposit 50% of the amount upto date, towards arrears of maintenance, within one month, before the Family Court, Faridabad and to file compliance affidavit in this regard. The matter was taken up on 14.05.2015, wherein, it was noted that compliance of the order dated 18.03.2015 had not been made. Learned counsel for the petitioner

sought time and the matter was again taken up on several occasions thereafter. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not coming forward, despite the fact that he has informed him of the orders so passed, on 14.05.2015 and 20.11.2015.

In view of the fact that notice was issued conditionally, subject to the petitioner depositing the arrears of maintenance and a sum of Rs.25,000/- towards litigation expenses and the same not been complied with, there is no ground made out to interfere with the order so passed.

Revision petition stands dismissed accordingly.

October 24, 2016

vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

Yes/No