IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Crl. Rev. (F) No.31 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 19.01.2016

Rahul Chauhan ....Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Poonam Chauhan and another ....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. **Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
2. **To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate
for the respondents.

*****

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a compromise has been arrived at between the parties and a petition for quashing of FIR, on the basis of compromise, is pending.

The present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that a draft bearing No.733108 dated 13.03.2015, amounting to ₹ 20,000/- was given by the petitioner but the same could not be encashed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said draft was issued in favour of the complainant and the same was deposited with the Registry of this Court.

Registry is directed to hand over the original draft to the petitioner on moving an application by him, who undertakes to prepare/hand over another draft of the same amount to the complainant.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

19.01.2016
gurpreet

GURPREET KAUR
2016.01.20 16:32
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
HIgh Court Chandigarh