

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR-4512 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.12.2016

Rinku and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the impugned judgment dated 07.11.2016 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Jhajjar, whereby the petitioners were directed to pay compensation to the tune of Rs.5 lacs to respondent No.1.

The learned counsel states that he does not press the matter to the extent of grant of probation, however, the amount of compensation assessed by the Appellate Court is on the higher side.

It is to be seen that probation was allowed on the petition/prayer made by the petitioners. There is nothing on record which shows that the petitioners protested against the amount awarded being on the higher side, after passing of the impugned

judgment. As per the medical evidence, there is loss of vision of right eye of respondent No.2 to the extent of 100%. The Court is of the considered view that amount of compensation of Rs.5 lakhs cannot be said to be on higher side. Therefore, the Court feels that the assertion raised by learned counsel for the petitioners is misconceived, lacks merit and warrants dismissal. Accordingly, finding no merit in the instant petition, the same is dismissed.

06.12.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No