

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR-946 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.09.2016

Rambhaj

...Petitioner

Versus

Bhoop Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vijay Pratap Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Hooda, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present criminal revision, the petitioner-complainant has assailed the judgment dated 29.01.2015, passed by Sessions Judge, Jhajjar, vide which, the appellants/ accused (respondents No.1 to 3 herein), were released on probation by upholding the conviction of respondents No.1 to 3.

Heard.

The learned trial Court has recorded valid and sufficient reasons for releasing the appellants/accused (respondents Nos. 1 to 3 herein), on probation upon furnishing probation bonds in the sum of

Rs.25,000/- each. The appellants/accused (respondents No.1 to 3 herein) were convicted by the JMIC for a period of one year. The learned Sessions Judge after considering the fact that appellants/accused were first offenders and they have no criminal record and the fact that the learned Magistrate has not given any reason for not considering the case of appellant for probation, has rightly released the accused/appellants on probation. The State has not preferred any appeal or revision.

Keeping in view the fact that the respondents No.1 to 3 have not misused the concession granted by the learned trial Court, no case for interference in the well reasoned judgment is made out.

Dismissed.

27.09.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
-----------------------------	-----	----

Whether Reportable :	Yes	No
----------------------	-----	----