

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.11154 of 2013

Date of Decision:- 26.5.2016.

Santosh Rani

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Inderjeet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitin Kumar, Advocate for the respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.

In the present writ petition, the petitioner is claiming that she is wife of Late Sewa Singh, ASI, Railway Protection Force (RPF). Except Ration Card and Voter Identity Card, no materials have been produced. That apart, deceased Sewa Singh nowhere in the service record declared that the petitioner is his wife. In view of these facts and circumstances, the petitions stands disposed of with an observation that the petitioner may obtain necessary declaration from the competent Court that she is wife of Late Sewa Singh for the purpose of claiming any retiral benefits on behalf Late Sewa Singh, ASI, RPF.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

May 26, 2016.

sandeep sethi