

205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 11130 of 2013 (O/M)
Date of decision : 12.12.2016

S.D. Marwaha

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ashok Sharma Nabhewala, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Anshul Gupta, Assistant A.G. Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned State counsel has pointed out that during the pendency of the present writ petition, a speaking order dated 25.3.2014 has been passed, vide which the benefit of higher responsibility with effect from 16.6.1993 has been given and the basic pay of the petitioner has been re-fixed. It is claimed that the claim of the petitioner is satisfied.

In view of the matter, the present writ petition is disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

12.12.2016
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	No
Whether Reportable	:	No