

CRR-510-2015 (O&M)

216

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR-510-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.05.2016

PARVEEN KUMARI

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The present revision has been filed by Parveen Kumari-petitioner praying that though the Kuldeep Singh-respondent has been convicted under Section 380, 448, 506, 427 IPC and under Section 3 (v) of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, but the sentence awarded to the accused is inadequate and the same is deserved to be enhanced to rigorous imprisonment of 7 years under Section 380 IPC.

Today no one has appeared on behalf of the revisionist. The previous interim orders shows that either counsel for the revisionist was not present or request for adjournment was made by the proxy counsel.

I have gone through the judgment of the lower Court.

Keeping in view the allegations of theft in the Kiosk (khoka) where the business of selling chappals, shoes and garments was being run by the complainant, I am of the view that the maximum sentence of rigorous imprisonment of one year awarded under Section 380, 448, 506, 427 of IPC under Section 3 (v) of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 cannot be called inadequate.

CRR-510-2015 (O&M)

Therefore, no ground for enhancement of sentence of the Kuldeep Singh-respondent No. 2 is made out. Hence, present petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 24, 2016
Suresh Kumar