

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No. 3909 of 2016

Date of decision : November 16, 2016

Kailash

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Ms. Indu Bala, Advocate
for Mr. Anoop Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG Haryana

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

This petition has been filed against the concurrent conviction of the petitioner under Sections 323, 324, 325 read with Section 34 of the IPC, wherein he was sentenced to RI for one year.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that though the petitioner has been convicted under Sections 324/325 of the IPC also but that is with the aid of Section 34 of the IPC and otherwise she has been

attributed only kick and fist blows. She further states that even as per the

case of the complainant, the petitioner was not present originally but had come on the scene lateron. On the last date, it was stated that this petition would not be pressed on merit but in view of these facts some reduction in the sentence may be called for.

Counsel for the respondent has accepted these factual assertions.

In this view of the matter, I do not deem it appropriate to alter the finding of conviction but reduce the sentence of the petitioner to six months. This petition stands disposed of.

November 16, 2016
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No