

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-496-2015 (O & M)
Date of decision:27.04.2016

Jatinder Singh alias Goldi

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Munish Raj, Advocate,
for Mr. G.S. Nahel, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Rajan Gupta, J. (oral)

Present revision petition is directed against the orders dated 05.03.2011 and 15.09.2014 passed by the courts below convicting the petitioner for offences under Section 420 IPC. He was sentenced accordingly, maximum imprisonment being two years.

FIR was lodged on the complaint of Harpal Singh. It was alleged that a stolen car was sold to him. Prosecution examined as many as 17 witnesses in support of its case. In his statement under Section 313 Cr.P.C., petitioner claimed false implication and pleaded innocence. After considering the entire material on record the trial court came to the conclusion that the petitioner was guilty of offence as alleged. He unsuccessfully challenged his conviction before the appellate court. Aggrieved present revision petition has been filed before this court.

At the time of issuance of notice of motion, it was stated before the co-ordinate Bench (Lisa Gill, J.) that the petitioner would

not address on merits but would limit his prayer to the reduction in quantum of sentence.

Learned State counsel, at this stage, informs that the petitioner has undergone the sentence awarded to him.

Under the circumstances, no interference is called for. Even otherwise, on appreciation of judgment of both the courts below, I am of the considered view that the petitioner has been rightly held guilty of the offence as alleged. Revision petition is without any merit and is hereby dismissed.

CRM-5059-2015

Since the main revision petition has been dismissed, no order needs to be passed in the application for condonation of delay.

27.04.2016
sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE