

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRL. REVISION No.4952 OF 2015 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 27th SEPTEMBER, 2016**

Ram Kala & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Pravesh Yadav, Advocate for petitioners.

Ms. Tanu Shree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

CRM-41688-2015

The application is allowed and Annexures P-1 to P-4 are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CRL. REVISION No.4952 OF 2015

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel.

This revision petition is directed against order framing of charge.

I have perused the reasons given by the learned trial Judge for framing charge and after the charge itself I also perused the FIR. The entire findings given in order dated 28.09.2015 are legal, correct and proper. The trial has not at the stage of evidence. The petition was filed in the year 2015, challenging the framing of charge apart from that I find that the aforesaid order cannot be said to be final order and as such revision would not be maintainable. That apart, on merits, I find that the petitioner has no case to challenge the order framing of charge.

In view of the facts mentioned hereinbefore, the petition is dismissed as not maintainable. However, the trial court is directed to expedite the trial of the case.

Petitioners are at liberty to apply for exemption before the court below.

27th SEPTEMBER, 2016
‘raj’

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No