

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.4942 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.02.2016

Joginder Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr.Sumit Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Maheshinder Singh Sidhu, Addl.A.G. Haryana

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is the judgment dated 29.9.2015, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jhajjar, vide which, while maintaining the conviction of the appellants (respondent Nos.2 to 4 herein) under Sections 323, 324 read with Section 34 IPC, they were ordered to be released on probation for one year on payment of Rs.15,000/- as compensation to the complainant.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also carefully gone through the file.

The judgments of both the Courts below show that simple injuries with blunt and sharp edged weapons were received by the complainant, for which, the accused and appellants before the appellate Court were convicted under Sections 323, 324 read with

Section 34 IPC. Since the said accused were the first offenders, therefore, keeping in view the nature of the offence, the lower Court extended the benefit of the probation to the said accused.

I do not find any illegality or infirmity in the said order in granting the benefit to the accused under Probation of Offenders Act, 1958. For the injuries, compensation of Rs.15,000/- has been ordered to be paid to the complainant. No ground to interfere is made out.

Resultantly, the revision stands dismissed.

02.02.2016
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge