

CWP No. 10593 of 2013

Date of decision: 11.01.2016

M/s Sitara Lal Raj Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. R.K.Girdhar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(Oral)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 1.06.2012 (Annexure P-8) passed by respondent No. 3 terminating the dealership of retail outlet and also order dated 1.11.2012(Annexure P-10) vide which the appeal has been dismissed by the Appellate Authority.

Counsel for the respondents has taken an objection in the reply that the petitioner has the effective remedy of seeking arbitration.

Faced with this objection, counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the petition in order to avail the remedy of arbitration but has also submitted that order passed by the appellate authority may not influence the arbitrator.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and after examining the available record, the present petition is hereby disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to avail the remedy of arbitration and it is further directed that the arbitrator shall not be influenced by the order passed by the appellate authority.

[Rakesh Kumar Jain]
Judge

11th January, 2016
Shivani Kaushik