

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

225

**Criminal Revision (F) No.122 of 2014
Date of decision: 26th February, 2016**

Simmy and another

....Petitioners

versus

Satwinder Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Kirpal Singh Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S. Behl, Advocate for the respondent.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the dispute between the parties has been settled by way of compromise and the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

February 26, 2016
sonia gugnani

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**