

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR No.4866 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: April 06, 2016.

Sucha Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. G.S. Duhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Gaur, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

It comes out that the present petitioner, along with 10 other persons, was convicted and sentenced by the lower court for the offences punishable under Sections 148, 149, 323 and 506 IPC. On 16.11.2015, the present petitioner did not appear before the appellate court. Consequently, the appellate court dismissed the appeal of the present petitioner while the appeal of the remaining 10 persons was admitted for hearing.

The short prayer of Learned Counsel for the petitioner is that the order dismissing the appeal qua him should be set aside and his appeal should be heard on merits.

After going through the impugned order, it is revealed that the appeal by 10 other persons is to be heard on merits. Since the appeal of 10 other persons is pending and to be heard, therefore, without commenting on the merits of the present petition, the impugned order is set

aside and the appeal qua the petitioner is also ordered to be heard and decided along with other co-accused.

The revision is accordingly allowed.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the appellate court.

April 06, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge