

205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 13644 of 2012 (O/M)
Date of decision : 22.9.2016

Pehlad Singh and another

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The affidavit of Shri Ved Pal Daulta, District Elementary Education Officer, Jhajjar, in compliance to the order dated 17.3.2016, shows that petitioner No. 1 had died on 13.6.2013 and till his death, he was receiving the pension benefits. Thereafter, petitioner No. 2, his wife Shanti Devi was receiving the family pension. She also expired on 25.1.2014. It is claimed that their legal heirs are married children. Therefore, they are not eligible for the family pension.

However, at the same time, it comes out that after the death of both the petitioners, their LRs have not come on record to make any claim.

Today, none is present on behalf of the petitioners to press the

CWP No. 13644 of 2012 (O/M)

petition. Hence, the present writ petition is dismissed. However, if the legal heirs feel that they are entitled to pension under the rules, they can always institute a separate petition for the same.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

22.9.2016
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No