

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.4751 of 2015

Date of decision: 18.01.2016

Surjit Singh @ Roora

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Ranjit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is the judgment dated 4.9.2015, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Tarn Taran, affirming the judgment and order dated 2.12.2013, passed by the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Patti, vide which, the present petitioner was convicted for the offences punishable under Sections 419 and 420 IPC and sentenced to undergo RI for two years and fine of Rs.2000/- on each count. In default thereof, to further undergo imprisonment for one month on each count.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have also carefully gone through the file.

Perusal of file shows that the allegations against the petitioner are that he impersonated as one Jagir Singh before the

Bank Manager and obtained a loan of Rs.6,85,000/- from the Bank. He also produced fabricated revenue record. The charges were proved against the petitioner before the lower Court. The appeal has been dismissed.

After going through the judgments of both the Courts below, this Court finds that the judgments are based on sound reasoning and the evidence produced by the prosecution has been rightly relied upon. The accused had obtained a loan from the bank by impersonation and has not returned the money so far. There is no ground to interfere in the judgments of both the Courts below. There is also no ground to reduce the sentence.

Consequently, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

18.01.2016
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge