

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 10425 of 2013.
Date of Decision : 11.04.2016

Bhagirath Beniwal

...Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Labour Court-II, Faridabad and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

- 1) *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2) *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3) *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ankita Sambyal, Advocate for
Mr. Nitin Sarin, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. Pardeep Gupta, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

P.B. Bajanthri, J.(Oral)

On 14.01.2016 the matter was referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. The matter was settled on 10.03.2016 between the parties by entering into an agreement/settlement. The same has been placed on record. The relevant extract of para 6 of the agreement/settlement reads as under :-

“6. The following settlement has been arrived at between the parties hereto:

a) The Second Party-(Respondents No. 2 and 3)

has agreed to pay a lump sum of ₹8,00,000 (Rupees Eight Lac only) towards ex-gratia payment which includes all emoluments, back wages, gratuity, leave encashment and all statutory funds.

- b) After the receipt of the payment the first party shall have no further claim left/pending towards the Second Party (respondents No. 2 and 3). He will not file any case against the Second Party-respondents No. 2 and 3 for the present dispute.*
- c) The said payment shall be paid by demand draft in favour of first party on the date when the present CWP No. 10425 of 2013 shall be take up for hearing before the Hon'ble Bench.*
- d) In view of the present settlement between the parties, the first party will withdraw the present Civil Writ Petition."*

In terms of agreement/settlement, respondent No. 2 furnishes two demand drafts dated 22.03.2016 amounting to ₹4,00,000/- each in favour of the petitioner, which are handed over to the petitioner in Court today. Photocopy of the demand drafts is placed on record.

Counsel for the petitioner does not want to pursue with the present petition.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of accordingly.

April 11, 2016.
kanchan

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE