

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.354 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January 29, 2016**

Ram Kishan

.... Petitioner

vs.

Rajesh Kumar and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the judgment dated 04.01.2016 passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, affirming the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 27.01.2015 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jalandhar, vide which the present petitioner was convicted for commission of offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for 3 months and to pay a fine of ₹2,000/-, in default thereof to further undergo simple imprisonment for 15 days.

The allegations against the present petitioner are that he issued two cheques amounting to ₹80,000/- and ₹1,00,000/-, which on presentation were bounced on account of 'insufficient funds'. Both the courts below found that the cheques were issued by the petitioner in discharge of the legal liability.

I do not find any ground to interfere in the concurrent findings of facts recorded by both the courts below. The sentence awarded to the petitioner is already on the lighter side. Therefore, no interference in the same is required.

Hence, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

January 29, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE