

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-4644-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: January 18, 2016.

Rakesh Kumar @ Lally

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Sarabjit Singh Hira, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Navdeep Singh, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

The petitioner has been convicted and sentenced by both the courts below under Section 411 IPC and sentenced to RI for one year and fine of Rs.200/-, in default thereof, RI for 10 days. Learned counsel for the revisionist has not challenged the conviction but has restricted his prayer qua the quantum of sentence.

A perusal of the file shows that the petitioner was found in possession of some stolen mobiles. Keeping in view the facts and the entirety of the circumstances, substantive sentence of one year imposed upon the petitioner is reduced to seven months.

With this modification in sentence, the revision petition is disposed of.

January 18, 2016.
kadyan

[**Kuldip Singh**]
Judge