

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR-3460-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision-22.09.2016**

**Sukhi Ram
Vs.
State of Haryana**

**...Petitioner

...Respondent**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Parkash Chahar, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed for impugning the order of charge sheet dated 16.12.2015 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jhajjar, vide which charge under Section 30 of the Arms Act has been framed against the petitioner.

The petitioner had taken due care to keep the weapon safe. The weapon was allegedly removed by his son from the locked brief-case. There is no indulgence and contravention of any rule on the part of the petitioner. There is delay of 187 days in filing the present revision petition. The assertion raised by learned counsel has been duly considered by the competent Court. There is prima facie evidence that the weapon held by the petitioner was used in the crime.

Accordingly, neither any case for interference nor any case for condonation of delay in filing the revision is made out.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to raise all the pleas before the competent Court at appropriate stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

September 22, 2016
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No