

227 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.4568 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: April 12, 2016

Harpreet Singh Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Vivek Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The present revision petition has been filed against the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 21.05.2015 passed by learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali, vide which the present petitioner was convicted and sentenced as under:

Sr. No.	Offence u/s	Sentence	Fine (₹)	In default of payment of fine
1	279 IPC	6 months (R.I.)	1,000/-	1 week
2	337 IPC	6 months (R.I.)	1,000/-	1 week
3	304-A IPC	2 years (R.I.)	5,000/-	2 months

All the substantive sentences were directed to run concurrently.

The appeal filed by the petitioner was dismissed by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali, vide judgment dated 17.10.2015.

Notice of motion was issued on the point of quantum of sentence only.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also carefully gone through the case file.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner is a young man. He has done M.B.A. Due to his conviction, he lost his job and his engagement was also called off.

In this case, one lady, namely, Hardish Kaur, who had come from Canada, lost her life.

After taking into the entirety of the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the petitioner had also received injuries in the alleged accident, the sentence of imprisonment awarded to the petitioner for the commission of offence punishable under Section 304-A IPC is reduced from 2 years to 1 year. However, the remaining part of the sentence awarded for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 304-A, 279 and 337 IPC is maintained.

With the abovenoted modification, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

April 12, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE