

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. REVISION No.3417 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 21st SEPTEMBER, 2016

Parveen Kumari @ Parveen Sharma

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Achin Gupta, Advocate for petitioner.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

CRM-29475-2016

For reasons mentioned in the application, which is accompanied by affidavit, delay of 18 days in filing the revision petition is condoned.

CRL. REVISION No.3417 OF 2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

The order under challenge is for rejection of application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. for summoning additional accused persons. The following reasons are given by the court below while dismissing the same:

“6. In the present case, the charge has been framed against husband of the complainant and that too only for causing simple injuries and attempting to administer poison. There are only simple injuries on the neck of complainant Parveen Kumari, which as per the allegations, had appeared on account of trying to throttle her. All other injuries are abrasions. The occurrence is alleged to have taken place on 16.6.2015 at Bathinda, whereas the complainant got herself medically examined at Mansa and that too after two days of the occurrence. No overt act has been attributed to Raj Kumar, Deepak Sharma @ Deepa and Baldev Kumar.”

I have also, with assistance of the counsel, perused the evidence produced before the court below. Evidence before the court does not make out any case for summoning the additional accused.

In that view of the matter, the instant petition is dismissed.

21st SEPTEMBER, 2016
‘raj’

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No