

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.34 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January 08, 2016**

Gulzar Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the application under Section 311 Cr.P.C. moved before the lower court by the petitioner is not happily worded and no proper prayer has been made. Therefore, he wants to withdraw the said application with liberty to file fresh one making specific prayer for additional evidence.

It being so, the present petitioner is allowed to withdraw the application filed under Section 311 Cr.P.C. with liberty to file fresh one with appropriate relief.

Consequently, the present revision petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

January 08, 2016
sarita