

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.4533 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.03.2016**

Bimla

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryanan and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Ms.HArmanpreet Kaur (Simmi), Advocate for the petitioner

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

CRM No.38407 of 2015

For the reasons mentioned in the application, it is allowed and 111 days delay in filing the revision petition stands condoned.

CRR No.4533 of 2015

This revision is filed against the judgment dated 30.4.2015, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak, whereby the judgment of acquittal dated 18.1.2014, passed by the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate , Meham was upheld.

Heard.

The perusal of the judgments of both the Court below shows that the alleged offences are punishable under Sections 323, 325, 506 read with Section 34 IPC.

Both the Courts below have discussed the evidence on

merits and found that the guilt of the accused is not proved beyond all reasonable doubts. Judgments are supported by sound reasoning. There is no illegality or perversity in the same.

The present revision stands dismissed.

28.03.2016

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**