

231 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.4531 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: February 15, 2016

Harjinder Singh @ Dayal Singh Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Vijay Poonia, Advocate for
Mr. Dhirinder Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

This revision is against the judgment dated 07.10.2015 passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, affirming the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 23.04.2014 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jagraon, whereby the present petitioner was convicted and sentenced as under:

Sr. No.	Offence punishable u/s	Sentence (R.I.)	Fine (₹)	In default of fine (S.I.)
1	279 IPC	6 months	500/-	1 month
2	338 IPC	1 year	500/-	1 month
3	304-A IPC	1½ years	2,000/-	2 months

All the substantive sentences were directed to run concurrently.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have also carefully gone through the case file.

The only prayer made before this Court is for reduction in the sentence awarded to the petitioner. In the present case, one person lost his life and others got grievous injuries.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the view that there is scope for reduction in the sentence awarded to the petitioner for the commission of offence punishable under Section 304-A IPC. Therefore, the sentence under the said section is reduced from 1½ years to 1 year 3 months. The remaining part of the sentence awarded under Section 304-A, 279 and 338 IPC is maintained.

With the aforesaid modification, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

February 15, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE