

218
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 4517 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 18.2.2016

Sukhdev Singh

..... Revisionist

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Kuldip Sanwal, Advocate, for the revisionist.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, Assistant A.G. Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Custody certificate filed in Court today, which is taken on record.

The revisionist has been convicted for commission of offences punishable under Sections 279, 304-A, 337 and 427 IPC by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gurdaspur, vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 6.4.2013. All the sentences were ordered to run concurrently. The maximum sentence awarded is under Section 304-A IPC, which is rigorous imprisonment for two years alongwith fine of Rs. 1,000/-, in default thereof, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for ten days.

CRR No. 4517 of 2015 (O/M)

-2-

During the course of arguments, the learned counsel for the revisionist does not press the revision on merits and prays for reduction in the sentence.

In this case, one person lost his life. The learned counsel for the revisionist contends that the father of the deceased admits that the deceased had consumed liquor at the marriage palace and there were three persons on the motorcycle and, therefore, some concession in the sentence should be given to the accused.

Keeping in view the entirety of the facts and circumstances, I am of the view that there is some scope for reduction in the sentence. Accordingly, the sentence of rigorous imprisonment for two years, awarded under Section 304-A IPC is reduced from 2 years to 1 year and 3 months. The remaining part of the sentence under Section 304-A IPC and under the remaining sections is maintained.

With the abovesaid modification, the present revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

18.2.2016
sjks