

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 12.07.2016

CWP No.10147 of 2013

Ram Parkash ...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others ...Respondents

CWP No.10318 of 2013

Subhash Chander & others ...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & another ...Respondents

CWP No.10924 of 2013

Chatarpal ...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others ...Respondents

CWP No.11279 of 2013

Amrit Lal ...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others ...Respondents

CWP No.11384 of 2013

Rattan Singh & others ...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others ...Respondents

CWP No.12413 of 2013

Kashmir Chand & others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CWP No.12700 of 2013

Sunil Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Manoj Chahal, Advocate, for the petitioner (s)
in CWP Nos.10147, 11384 & 12413 of 2013.

Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate, for the petitioner(s)
in CWP No.10924 of 2013.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

1. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
2. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

This order will dispose of the above mentioned writ petitions, as common questions of law are involved in them, which can conveniently be decided by a common order. However, the facts are not necessary to incorporate in the order since a legal principle alone is involved in these cases relating to technical pay scales.

The claim raised in these petitions can no longer be agitated before the learned Single Judge in view of the coming into force firstly of The Haryana (Abolition of Distinction of Pay Scale Between Technical and Non-Technical Posts) Ordinance, 2013 [Haryana Ordinance No.6 of 2013],

which has been passed into the enacted law titled, The Haryana (Abolition of Distinction of Pay Scale Between Technical and Non-Technical Posts) Act, 2014 as a result of which all these petitions have been rendered infructuous as far as prayers made before the learned Single Judge is concerned. However, the petitioner(s) would have the benefit of the order passed by the Division Bench of this Court in LPA No.1040 of 2012 (O&M) titled 'The State of Haryana & others Vs. Rajbir Singh & others' decided on 29.01.2014 and may carry challenge, if advised, to the Division Bench seized of the matter with respect to the *vires* and constitutional validity of the aforesaid law.

Consequently, all the petitions are disposed of with liberty to the petitioner(s) to approach the Division Bench in challenge to the *vires* of the Act.

12.07.2016
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE