

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRR 3224 of 2016
Date of Decision: September 8, 2016

Birender @ Sonu
.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana
.....Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.
-.-

Present:- Mr.Deepak Vashishth, Advocate for the petitioner.
-.-

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

An application under Section 91 Cr.P.C. filed by the petitioner accused in a murder case has been dismissed vide impugned order observing that it will be open to the petitioner to summon the said complaint in defence.

While dismissing the application, the trial Court has observed that the said document can be produced in defence.

Since the rights of the petitioner to impeach the credibility of the prosecution version has not been prejudiced and his right to summon the complaint of the mother of the deceased has been safeguarded, I do not find any ground to interfere in the order passed by the trial Court.

Dismissed without prejudice to the rights of the petitioner.

September 8, 2016
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/ No.
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No.